
Involvement of physicians in
community treatment programs:
Structural barriers and implications for
the use of pharmacotherapies

Lori J. Ducharme, Ph.D.
University of Georgia



Funding provided by NIDA grant R01DA013110

From research to practice

- Health services research on the “evidence-based practice” movement in addiction treatment
 - EBPs include pharmacotherapies, behavioral therapies, counseling approaches, and wraparound services
 - e.g., NIDA's *Principles of Drug Abuse Treatment*
 - Organization, management, financing, and human resource issues influencing service delivery
- Barriers to diffusion of EBPs
 - Program/clinician philosophy (“staff resistance”)
 - Financial constraints (billable services, client ability to pay)
 - Training & education of staff
 - Access to medical infrastructure (critical for pharmacotherapies)
 - Availability of physicians and prescribing staff



Research platform

- Continuation of ongoing studies examining diffusion, adoption & implementation of EBPs in specialty addiction treatment settings
 - Not examining primary care or office-based practice settings
- National data (SAMHSA) unable to explore beyond simple percentages of adopters
 - No data on program infrastructure that may explain adoption
- Collected detailed data from private sector treatment providers to examine correlates of adoption and barriers to use of pharmacotherapies
 - Availability of prescribing staff & prescribing patterns



Study description

- Ongoing NIDA-funded study of private-sector, specialty addiction treatment programs
 - Nationally representative sample drawn from SSA directories, yellow pages, and association membership lists
 - Community-based programs offering at least ASAM Level I outpatient treatment.
 - Excludes private practice, correctional facilities, VA settings, methadone-only, detox-only
 - Programs receive less than 50% of annual operating revenues from SAPT block grants & other public grant/contract sources
 - Sample averages 18% of revenues from such sources
 - On-site, face-to-face interviews conducted with administrator and clinical director of each sampled program
 - N=225 completed to date (target N=350 by summer '08)



Characteristics of sampled programs

	Mean or % (N=225)
For profit	29.3%
Hospital-based	36.9%
Accredited (JCAHO or CARF)	64.4%
OP only	32.4%
Dual diagnosis-enhanced	24%
Dual diagnosis-capable	54%
% Master's counselors	53.1 (0-100, sd=34.1)
Annual admissions (mean)	969 (9-6000, sd=1216)
% alcohol-dependent clients	68.3
% opioid-dependent clients	38.1
% private insurance clients	35.6
% public pay clients	36.9



Characteristics of Prescribing Staff

- Of N=225 treatment programs...
 - 66.7% have rx staff who prescribe addiction meds
 - 16.9% have rx staff but do not prescribe addiction meds
 - 16.4% do not have access to rx staff
- Of those with any prescribing staff...
 - 56.4% have Rx staff on payroll (regular employees)
 - 27.2% retain Rx staff on contract
 - 16.4% have formal referral processes in place
- Of those with any prescribing staff...
 - 26.6% have one or more MD physicians
 - 13.8% have one or more addiction psychiatrists
 - 58% have at least one physician and at least one psychiatrist
 - 1.6% have a nurse with prescribing privileges



Barriers to hiring/retaining Rx staff

- 16.4% of programs have no access to Rx staff. Why not?
 - Percent “strongly agree” to the following statements:
 - 61% said “we have adequate referral relationships”
 - 39% said “lack of resources to hire/retain medical staff”
 - 25% said “Rx meds are inconsistent with our tx philosophy”
 - 16% said “center is not licensed to provide medical services”
 - 8% said “lack of evidence that meds are more effective”
- If you had an MD on staff, how likely would you be to use addiction meds in treatment?
 - 50% said “very likely”
 - 23.5% neutral
 - 26.5% said “not at all likely”



Characteristics of programs having Rx staff

	Odds ratio
For profit	.476
Hospital-based	2.91
Accredited	9.74
Dual diagnosis-enhanced	4.33
Outpatient only	.165
Past year admissions	1.001 [+237% per additional SD]
Master's level counselors	n.s.
% private insured clients	n.s.
% public pay clients	1.014 [+60.5% per additional SD]
% alcohol dependent clients	.976 [-41.8% per additional SD]
% opioid dependent clients	1.027 [+104% per additional SD]

Binary logistic regressions, N=225 treatment programs



Barriers to the use of Rx meds

- 21.9% of centers with MDs do not prescribe addiction meds. Why not?
 - Percent “strongly agree” to the following statements:
 - 54% said state regulations prohibit the use of addiction/Rx meds
 - 31% said meds are inconsistent with program’s tx philosophy
 - 26% cited reimbursement issues (for meds or docs)
 - 23% said there were “better tx alternatives” available
 - 21% said addiction meds just substitute one drug for another
 - 15% cited staff resistance to the use of addiction meds
 - 13% said they have inadequate information about using meds
 - 10% cited lack of clinical evidence supporting addiction meds



Addiction meds as “technology clusters”

- Current usage in N=147 prescribing programs (not mutually exclusive):
 - 97% prescribe psych meds
 - SSRIs, other antidepressants, antipsychotics
 - 77% prescribe opioid meds
 - methadone, buprenorphine (Subutex or Bup/nx), tablet naltrexone
 - 78% prescribe alcohol meds
 - disulfiram, tablet naltrexone, acamprosate, Vivitrol (injectable naltrexone)
- Only 9.5% prescribe exclusively psych meds
- Only 1.4% prescribe exclusively opioid meds (bup)
- None prescribe exclusively alcohol meds



Current use: Detailed meds list

- Of the N=147 programs prescribing any addiction meds:
 - **Psych meds:**
 - 95.2% SSRIs
 - 88.4% other antidepressants
 - 86.4% antipsychotics
 - **Alcohol meds:**
 - 55.1% acamprosate
 - 46.9% tablet naltrexone
 - 42.2% disulfiram
 - 27.2% Vivitrol
 - **Opioid meds:**
 - 60.5% buprenorphine
 - 30.6% tablet naltrexone
 - 26.5% methadone



Characteristics of programs prescribing meds

	Odds ratio
For profit	n.s.
Hospital-based	n.s.
Accredited	4.09
Dual diagnosis-enhanced	3.38
Outpatient only	.29
Past year admissions	1.001 [+237% per additional SD]
Master's level counselors	1.015 [+66.8% per additional SD]
% private insured clients	n.s.
% public pay clients	n.s.
% alcohol dependent clients	n.s.
% opioid dependent clients	1.015 [+50.9% per additional SD]

Binary logistic regressions, N=188 treatment programs



A note on prescribing frequency

- Rates of prescribing within programs are notably low, especially for alcohol pharmacotherapies.
- What percent of clients with [condition] are currently receiving [medication]?
 - Psych meds:
 - 69.6% of clients with co-occurring psych conditions receive meds
 - Opioid dependence:
 - 34% of clients receive methadone (maintenance)
 - 36% of clients receive buprenorphine (maintenance)
 - 11% of clients receive tablet naltrexone
 - Alcohol dependence (avg 67% of clients are alcohol dependent):
 - 12% of clients receive naltrexone
 - Sample mean (n)= 6 clients on acamprosate, 3 on disulfiram
 - Sample mean (n)= 7 clients ever received at least 1 Vivitrol injection



Summary & Conclusions

- These data are based entirely on private sector; trends in public sector treatment settings are markedly different
 - Much less access to physicians overall
 - Greater reliance on contingent staff / referrals
 - Much lower use of pharmacotherapies
 - More structural barriers (\$, state regs, reimbursement)
- Still, private sector is not isomorphic with respect to either physician availability or prescribing behavior
- Low rates of implementation of opioid and especially alcohol pharmacotherapies
 - Suggests differential medicalization of psychiatric conditions, opioid dependence, and alcohol dependence
- Closer look at structural barriers appears warranted



For more information...

National Treatment Center Study information and publications may be accessed at:

www.uga.edu/NTCS

